arXiv:1606.05395v2 [math.AP] 24 Jun 2016

ON THE GLOBAL REGULARITY OF THREE DIMENSIONAL DENSITY PATCH FOR INHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLOW

XIAN LIAO AND YANLIN LIU

Abstract. Toward P.-L. Lions' open question in [19] concerning the propagation of regularity for density patch, we prove that the boundary regularity of the 3-D density patch persists by time evolution for inhomogeneous incompressible viscous flow, with initial density given by $(1-\eta)\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}+\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c}$ for some small enough constant η and some $W^{k+2,p}$ domain Ω_0, $p\in]3,\infty[$, and with initial velocity in $W^{1,p}\cap L^3$ satisfying some smallness condition and appropriate tangential regularities.

Keywords: Inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations; density patch; striated distributions space
AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. Introduction

We consider the following inhomogeneous incompressible three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho+\operatorname{div}(\rho v)=0, & (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \rho(\partial_t v+v\cdot\nabla v)-\Delta v+\nabla\pi=0,\\ \operatorname{div} v=0,\\ (\rho,v)|_{t=0}=(\rho_0,v_0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\rho\in\mathbb{R}^+$, $v\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\pi\in\mathbb{R}$ stand for the density, velocity field and pressure of the fluid respectively. System (1.1) describes an incompressible fluid with variable density. Basic examples are mixture of incompressible and non reactant flows, blood flows, models of rivers, fluids containing a melted substance, etc.

The existence and uniqueness issues of the solutions of (1.1) have been studied by numerous mathematicians. We just cite here among many others [3, 19, 23] for the construction of the weak solutions of (1.1), and the works [2, 3, 7, 10, 16] for the wellposedness result for the strong solutions. Recently progresses have been made: the smallness condition on the density fluctuation was successfully removed in e.g. [1, 8], the small jump of the density across some interface was permitted in e.g. [11, 12] and in the energy framework, [21] considered the positive density which is only assumed to be bounded from up and below.

In [19] P.-L. Lions proposed the following density patch problem: if the initial density $\rho_0=\mathbf{1}_D$ for some smooth domain D, then whether or not the boundary regularity of D will persist by time evolution? In [17, 18], the first author and P. Zhang considered this density patch problem in space dimension two, away from vacuum. More precisely in [17], the initial density ρ_0 is taken of the following form

$$\rho_0=(1-\eta)\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}+\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0^c},\quad 1-\eta\in\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.2}$$

Date: June 27, 2016.

where $|\eta|$ is a sufficiently small constant, and Ω_0 is some simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $k \geqslant 1$, $p \in]2,4[$ bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $X_0 \in W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the divergence-free tangential vector field of $\partial\Omega_0$ [1] and denote by $\partial_{X_0} f \overset{\text{def}}{=} X_0 \cdot \nabla f = \operatorname{div}(fX_0)$ the derivative of f in the direction of X_0. Then they proved the following persistence result of the boundary regularity:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that the initial velocity v_0 of (1.1) satisfies the following conormal regularities for some $\epsilon \in]0,1[$*

$$v_0 \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \textit{with} \quad \partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0 \in W^{1-\frac{\ell}{k}\epsilon,p}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \ell = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{1.3}$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) has a unique global solution (ρ, v) so that $\rho(t,x) = (1-\eta)\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)} + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)^c}$ for some simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ domain $\Omega(t)$.

The smallness condition on $|\eta|$ was successfully removed in [18], with the initial velocity v_0, together with its conormal derivatives $\partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0$, taken as

$$v_0 \in L^2 \cap \dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,1}, \quad \partial^\ell_{X_0} v_0 \in L^2 \cap \dot{B}^{s_\ell}_{2,1} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, \cdots, k,$$

where $s_0 \in]0,1[$, $s_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} s_0 - \epsilon_1 \ell/k$ for some fixed $\epsilon_1 \in]0, s_0[$, and $p \in \big]2, 2/(1-s_k)\big[$. Then the authors there took a thorough use of time weighted energy estimates to propagate the boundary and the velocity regularity as in Theorem 1.1, and $v \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}^{s_0}_{2,1})$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.1 to three dimensional case, for the density patch problem with small jump (1.2) but not in the finite-energy framework. One firstly notices that, the boundary of a two dimensional domain is one dimensional curve, whose tangent space can be spanned by the tangent vector (e.g. by X_0 given above). But the boundary of three dimensional domain is two dimensional surface, whose tangent space has dimension two, hence in order to propagate the boundary regularity of arbitrarily large order, we need to discuss differentiation in different directions.

Recall that the conormal (or striated) distribution spaces have been successfully used by J.-Y. Chemin [5, 6] for studying the evolution of the boundary regularity of the two-dimensional vortex patch problem for Euler equations (see also [4]). Then the subsequent works [9, 14] considered the viscous case and the works [13, 22] extend the result to three dimensional case. We here follow [13] to select "good" tangent vector directions to work with. We first adopt the following definition of admissible systems introduced in [13]:

Definition 1.1 (Definition 3.1 of [13])**.** *Any system $W = \{W_1, \cdots, W_N\}$ composed of N continuous vector fields is said to be* admissible *if the function*

$$[W]^{-1} \overset{def}{=} \Big(\frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{\mu<\nu} |W_\mu \wedge W_\nu|^2\Big)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

is bounded. Here, for any two vector fields $X = (X^1, X^2, X^3)$, $Y = (Y^1, Y^2, Y^3)$, the notation $X \wedge Y$ is defined as follows

$$X \wedge Y = \big(X^2Y^3 - X^3Y^2, X^3Y^1 - X^1Y^3, X^1Y^2 - X^2Y^1\big)^T.$$

Now we can select five divergence-free tangential vector fields for the two dimensional boundary according to the following result from [13]: [2]

[1] Let $g_0 \in W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\partial\Omega_0 = g_0^{-1}(0)$ and ∇g_0 does not vanish on $\partial\Omega_0$. Then we can choose $X_0 = \nabla^\perp g_0$.

[2] Porposition 3.2 in [13] concerns the framework of Hölder spaces, however the proof also works in the framework of Sobolev spaces.

Proposition 1.1 (Proposition 3.2 of [13]). *For any $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ two dimensional compact submanifold Σ of $\mathbb{R}^3$, we can find an admissible system consisting of five $W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, divergence-free vector fields tangent to Σ.*

Before we go to the statement of density patch problem in three space dimension, let us introduce the following notations which will be used freely in the following context. For any system $W=\{W_1,\cdots,W_N\}$ composed of N continuous vector fields, and for any multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)$ of length m with $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m\in\{1,\cdots,N\}$, we denote

$$\partial_W^{\alpha}=\partial_W^{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)}=\partial_{W_{\alpha_1}}\cdots\partial_{W_{\alpha_m}}.$$

We emphasize that the order of differentiation is important. We furthermore denote

$$\partial_W^m=\big(\partial_W^{\alpha}\big)_{\alpha}$$

to be an N^m dimensional vector, where α takes over all the multi-index with length m and with elements taking integer values between 1 and N.

Now we can state the density patch problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let us take the initial density ρ_0 of the form (1.2) where Ω_0 is a simply connected bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$, such that its boundary $\partial\Omega_0$ is $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $k\geqslant 1$, $p\in]3,\infty[$, two dimensional compact submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^3$. By Proposition 1.1, we can find an admissible system as follows

$$\begin{aligned}&W_0=\{X_{1,0},X_{2,0},X_{3,0},X_{4,0},X_{5,0}\},\\&\quad\text{with } X_{i,0}\in W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3),\ k\geq 1,\ p\in]3,\infty[,\quad \operatorname{div}X_{i,0}=0,\quad i=1,\cdots,5.\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

We assume the following smallness condition on the initial velocity

$$\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p_1}}_{p_1,r}}\leq c_0,\ \text{for some } 1<p_1<3 \text{ and } 1<r<\min\{\frac{2p_1}{3(p_1-1)},\frac{4p}{2p-3},3\},\tag{1.5}$$

as well as the following (conormal) regularities on v_0 for some $\varepsilon\in]0,1[$

$$v_0\in W^{1,p}\cap L^3,\quad \partial_{W_0}^{\ell}v_0\in W^{1-\frac{\ell}{k}\varepsilon,p},\quad \ell=1,\cdots,k.\tag{1.6}$$

Under the smallness condition on the initial data, we have the following theorem from [12] to guarantee the existence of global weak solutions to (1.1).

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.1 of [12]). *Let the initial data (ρ_0,v_0) satisfy (1.2) and (1.5). If $|\eta|,c_0$ are sufficiently small, then (1.1) has a global weak solution (ρ,v), and there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|(\Delta v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}})}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^+;L^{\frac{3r}{2r-1}})}\leq Cc_0.\tag{1.7}$$

In the following, we consider the propagation of the boundary regularity for the density patch problem. The main result of this paper states as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Let the initial data (ρ_0,v_0) satisfy (1.2)-(1.4)-(1.5)-(1.6), with $|\eta|,c_0$ sufficiently small. Then (1.1) has a unique global solution (ρ,v) so that $\rho(t,x)=(1-\eta)\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)}+\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)^c}$ for some simply connected $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ bounded domain $\Omega(t)$.*

Remark 1.1. (i) *According to Theorem 1.1 of [12], the smallness condition on the initial data (1.2) and (1.5) can be replaced by the following more general one*

$$\big(\|\rho_0-1\|_{L^\infty}+\|v_0^{\mathrm{h}}\|_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p_1}}_{p_1,r}}\big)\cdot\exp\big(C_r\|v_0^3\|^{2r}_{\dot{B}^{-1+\frac{3}{p_1}}_{p_1,r}}\big)\leq c_0.$$

Here C_r is some positive constant and $v_0^{\mathrm{h}}=(v_0^1,v_0^2)$ denotes the initial horizontal velocity.

(ii) *We mention that the initial vorticity* $\omega_0 = c\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_0}$ *(i.e. vortex patch) with* c *sufficiently small implies the assumptions* (1.5) *and* (1.6) *on* v_0. *Indeed, noting that* Ω_0 *is bounded, we have* $\|\omega_0\|_{L^q} = c|\Omega_0|^{\frac{1}{q}},\ \forall q \in]1,\infty[$. *Hence we can take the divergence-free initial velocity* $v_0 = (-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\times\omega_0$ *such that* $\|\nabla v_0\|_{L^q} \le C\frac{q^2}{q-1}\|\omega_0\|_{L^q}$ *and* $v_0 \in L^s,\ \forall s \in]\frac{3}{2},\infty]$. *In particular, the smallness condition* (1.5) *holds true with* $p_1 = 2$

$$\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}} \le C\|v_0\|_{W^{1,2}} \le Cc,$$

provided c *is small enough. On the other side, thanks to* $\partial^\ell_{W_0}\omega_0 \equiv 0$, *the conformal regularities* $\partial^\ell_{W_0} v_0 \in W^{1-\frac{\ell}{k}\varepsilon,p},\ \ell = 0,\cdots,k$ *were shown in Remark 1.1 of* [17].

Recall in [17] that in the two dimensional case, if the velocity of the flow $v \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{2,p})$, then to prove the persistence of $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ regularity of the domain Ω_0 is equivalent to show that the tangential vector field X which is transported by the flow from X_0 satisfies the following (conormal) regularities:

$$X,\ \partial_X X,\cdots,\partial_X^{k-1}X \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2),\ \text{where } \operatorname{div} X = 0 \text{ and } \partial_X f \overset{\text{def}}{=} X\cdot\nabla f = \operatorname{div}(fX).$$

Here we consider the system $W(t) = \{X_1(t),\cdots,X_5(t)\}$ satisfying for any $1 \le i \le 5$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X_i + v\cdot\nabla X_i = X_i\cdot\nabla v, \\ X_i(0,x) = X_{i,0}(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

and we claim that

Claim: Theorem 1.3 follows, if the following fact holds true for any $1 \le i \le 5$,

$$v \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \partial_W^{\ell-1}X_i \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \forall \ell \in \{1,\cdots,k\}. \tag{1.9}$$

The rest of this section is contributed to prove this claim and next section is devoted to show (1.9). The procedure of the proofs is similar to the one in [17] but we pay attention on the differences caused by the change of the space dimension.

Assume that (1.9) is correct. Then the velocity field $v \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathrm{Lip})$, and the uniqueness result of the weak solutions in Theorem 1.2 can be proved by using Lagrangian formulation of (1.1) as in [11, 12]. We omit the details here.

Let $\psi(t,x)$ be the flow associated with the velocity field v, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\psi(t,x) = v(t,\psi(t,x)), \\ \psi(0,x)) = x, \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

then by view of (1.9), we have

$$\psi(t,\cdot) - Id \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{2,p}),$$

and hence $\Omega(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \psi(t,\Omega_0)$ is a $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ domain. Moreover, the first equation of (1.1) gives

$$\rho(t,x) = (1-\eta)\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)} + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(t)^c}, \quad 1-\eta \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{1.11}$$

On the other side, there exists a finite number of charts $\{\mathbf{V}^\beta\}_{\beta=1}^m$ covering the two dimensional $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ compact submanifold $\partial\Omega_0$ such that we can parametrize anyone of them, say $\mathbf{V}^1$, as follows

$$\phi_1 : \mathbf{U}^1 \to \mathbf{V}^1, \quad \text{via} \quad (r,s) \mapsto \phi_1(r,s), \quad \phi_1 \in W^{k+2,p}(\mathbf{U}^1),$$

where $\mathbf{U}^1$ is an open set on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{V}^1$ is $\partial\Omega_0$-open set in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In order to show that $\partial\Omega(t) = \psi(t, \partial\Omega_0) \in W^{k+2,p}$, $k \geq 1$, it suffices to show (without loss of generality)

$$\partial_r^{k_1}\partial_s^{k_2}\psi(t, \phi_1(r,s)) \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}\left(W^{2,p}(\mathbf{U}^1)\right),\ \forall k_1 + k_2 = k.$$

Hence we only need to verify that

$$(\partial_{Y_0}^{k_1}\partial_{Z_0}^{k_2}\psi)(t,\cdot) \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}\left(W^{2,p}(\mathbf{V}^1)\right),\ \forall k_1 + k_2 = k, \tag{1.12}$$

where the tangent vector fields $Y_0, Z_0 \in W^{k+1,p}(\mathbf{V}^1; \mathbb{R}^3)$ are defined by

$$Y_0(\phi_1(r,s)) = \partial_r\phi_1(r,s), \quad Z_0(\phi_1(r,s)) = \partial_s\phi_1(r,s).$$

Indeed, a direct calculation gives for any $(r,s) \in \mathbf{U}^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r\psi(t,\phi_1(r,s)) &= Y_0(\phi_1(r,s))\frac{\partial\psi(t,\phi_1(r,s))}{\partial x} = (\partial_{Y_0}\psi)(t,\phi_1(r,s)),\\
\partial_s\psi(t,\phi_1(r,s)) &= Z_0(\phi_1(r,s))\frac{\partial\psi(t,\phi_1(r,s))}{\partial x} = (\partial_{Z_0}\psi)(t,\phi_1(r,s)),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence by an induction argument we achieve

$$\partial_r^{k_1}\partial_s^{k_2}\psi(t,\phi_1(r,s)) = (\partial_{Y_0}^{k_1}\partial_{Z_0}^{k_2}\psi)(t,\phi_1(r,s)),\ \text{with } \phi_1 \in W^{k+2,p}(\mathbf{U}^1).$$

Since the initial system W_0 given in (1.4) is admissible, for any $(\widetilde{r},\widetilde{s}) \in \mathbf{U}^1$, there exists a $\partial\Omega_0$-open set $\mathbf{V}_0 \subset \mathbf{V}^1$ containing $\phi_1(\tilde{r},\tilde{s})$ such that (without loss of generality)

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbf{V}_0} |X_{1,0} \wedge X_{2,0}|(x) > 0.$$

Thus we can decompose Y_0, Z_0 as a linear combination of $X_{1,0}$ and $X_{2,0}$ on $\mathbf{V}_0$, namely

$$Y_0 = c_1X_{1,0} + c_2X_{2,0}, \quad Z_0 = d_1X_{1,0} + d_2X_{2,0},$$

where the coefficients are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_i &= \frac{(Y_0, X_{i,0})|X_{j,0}|^2 - (Y_0, X_{j,0})(X_{1,0}, X_{2,0})}{|X_{1,0}\wedge X_{2,0}|^2},\\
d_i &= \frac{(Z_0, X_{i,0})|X_{j,0}|^2 - (Z_0, X_{j,0})(X_{1,0}, X_{2,0})}{|X_{1,0}\wedge X_{2,0}|^2}, \quad i,j = 1,2,\ i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in view of the fact $X_{1,0}, X_{2,0}, Y_0, Z_0 \in W^{k+1,p}(\mathbf{V}^1)$, $k \geq 1$, $p > 3$, we know that the coefficients c_i, d_i, $i = 1,2$ belong to $W^{k+1,p}(\mathbf{V}_0)$, $k \geq 1$. Hence without loss of generality, to prove (1.12) reduces to prove

$$(\partial_{c_1X_{1,0}+c_2X_{2,0}}^{k_1}\partial_{d_1X_{1,0}+d_2X_{2,0}}^{k_2}\psi)(t,\cdot) \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}\left(W^{2,p}(\mathbf{V}_0)\right),\ \forall k_1 + k_2 = k,$$

and it suffices to show

$$\partial_{(X_{1,0},X_{2,0})}^{k}\psi \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}\left(W^{2,p}(\mathbf{V}_0)\right). \tag{1.13}$$

To do this, let us recall the definition (1.10) of the stream function ψ, thus the vector field $X_i(t,x)$ defined by (1.8) can be written as

$$X_i(t,x) = (\partial_{X_{i,0}}\psi)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x)), \quad i = 1,2. \tag{1.14}$$

Hence for any function $f(t,x)\equiv g(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_{X_i}f)(t,x)&=(\partial_{X_{i,0}}\psi)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\cdot\nabla\psi^{-1}(t,x)\cdot(\nabla g)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\\&=X_{i,0}(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\cdot(\nabla\psi)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\cdot\nabla\psi^{-1}(t,x)\cdot(\nabla g)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\\&=X_{i,0}(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\cdot(\nabla g)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x))\\&=(\partial_{X_{i,0}}g)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x)),\quad i=1,2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the above formula repeately on (1.14) yields that

$$(\partial^{\alpha(k-1)}_{(X_1,X_2)}X_{\alpha_k})(t,x)=\left(\partial^{\alpha(k)}_{(X_{1,0},X_{2,0})}\psi\right)(t,\psi^{-1}(t,x)),$$

for any multi-index $\alpha(k)=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_k)$ with $\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_k\in\{1,2\}$, and $\alpha(k-1)$ denotes $(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_{k-1})$. Hence in order to prove (1.13), we only need to show

$$\partial^{k-1}_{(X_1,X_2)}(X_1,X_2)\in L^\infty_{\rm loc}\left(W^{2,p}(\mathbf{V}(t))\right),\quad \mathbf{V}(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}\psi(t,\mathbf{V}_0). \tag{1.15}$$

As (1.9) guarantees (1.15), we conclude that $\partial\Omega(t)\in W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and hence Theorem 1.3 follows. This completes the proof of the claim.

2. The proof of (1.9)

Similarly as in [17], in order to prove (1.9), we consider

$$J_0(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}1+\|(\partial_t v,\Delta v,\nabla\pi)\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)}+\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\sigma_r}_t(L^\infty)\cap L^{s_0}_t(L^p)}+\|v\|_{L^\infty_t(L^3)\cap L^{\sigma_s}_t(L^\infty)}, \tag{2.16}$$

and the following inductively defined quantities $J_\ell(t)$, $\ell=1,\cdots,k$,

$$\begin{aligned}J_\ell(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}&J_{\ell-1}(t)+\|(\partial_t\partial^\ell_W v,\Delta\partial^\ell_W v,\nabla\partial^\ell_W\pi)\|_{L^{r_\ell}_t(L^p)}+\|\nabla\partial^\ell_W v\|_{L^{r_\ell}_t(L^\infty)\cap L^{s_\ell}_t(L^p)}\\&+\|\partial^\ell_W v\|_{L^{s_\ell}_t(L^\infty)\cap L^\infty_t(L^p)}+\|\partial_t\partial^{\ell-1}_W W\|_{L^{s_\ell}_t(W^{1,p})}+\|\partial^{\ell-1}_W W\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})},\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $r_\ell,s_\ell,\sigma_r,\sigma_s$ can be taken freely as long as

$$r_\ell\in\left]1,\frac{2k}{k+\ell\varepsilon}\right[,\quad s_\ell\in\left]2,\frac{2k}{\ell\varepsilon}\right[,\quad \sigma_r\in\left]\frac{2p}{p+3},\frac{2p}{3}\right[,\ \sigma_s\in\left]\frac{4p-6}{p},\infty\right[,\quad \ell=0,\cdots,k. \tag{2.18}$$

Our aim in this section is to show the following estimates

$$J_\ell(t)\le\mathcal{H}_\ell(t)\overset{\rm def}{=}C_0\underbrace{\exp\cdots\exp}_{\ell\text{ times}}(C_0t),\quad\forall\ell=0,\cdots,k,\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+, \tag{2.19}$$

where C_0 denotes some positive constant which depends only on the initial data and may vary from lines to lines in the following context.

It is obvious that (1.9) can be deduced from the above estimates (2.19). The following context is devoted to the proof of (2.19). In particular, Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 in the following give (2.19) for the case $\ell=0$, $\ell=1$ and $\ell\ge2$ respectively.

Let us first notice the following fact.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume the same hypothesis as in Theorem 1.2 and $v_0\in L^3$. Then for the global weak solution given in Theorem 1.2, there exists a positive constant C_0 such that*

$$\|v(t)\|^3_{L^3}+\||\nabla|v|^{\frac32}\|^2_{L^2_t(L^2)}\le C_0(\|v_0\|^3_{L^3}+1),\quad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (2.20) for smooth solutions of the equation (1.1) [3]. Taking L^2 inner product between the momentum equation in (1.1) with $v|v|$ gives

$$\frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}v(t)\|_{L^3}^3 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta v\cdot v|v|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\pi\cdot v|v|dx = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta v\cdot v|v|dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2|v|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla|v|\cdot\nabla v\cdot v dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla|v|\cdot\nabla|v|^2dx = \|\frac{2}{3}\nabla|v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Applying the three dimensional interpolation inequality that

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{q}} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall q\in[2,6],$$

and Young's inequality, we obtain for $r\in[1,3]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\pi\cdot v|v|dx &\leq \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}\||v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^{2r}}^{\frac{4}{3}} \\ &\leq \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}\||v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{2\cdot\frac{3-r}{3r}}\|\nabla|v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{2\cdot\frac{r-1}{r}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9}\|\nabla|v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}^r\||v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Substituting (2.22), (2.23) into (2.21), we get

$$\frac{1}{3}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}v(t)\|_{L^3}^3 + \frac{1}{3}\|\nabla|v|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}^r\|v\|_{L^3}^3 + C\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}}}^r. \tag{2.24}$$

Then we take use of Gronwall's inequality, the estimate (1.7) and (1.11) to achieve (2.20). □

We will also use frequently the following two lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p\in[\frac{3}{2},\infty[$, $r\in]1,2[$, $s\in]2,\infty]$ and $q\in\left]\frac{4p}{2p+3},\frac{4p}{3}\right[$. Let $v_0\in W^{1,p}$ and $v_L(t)\overset{def}{=}e^{t\Delta}v_0$. Then there exists some positive constant C such that*

$$\|\Delta v_L\|_{L_t^r(L^p)} + \left\|\nabla v_L\right\|_{L_t^s(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_L\|_{L_t^q(L^{2p})} \leq C\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}, \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.25}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p\in[\frac{3}{2},\infty[$ and $r\in]1,2[$, then there exists some positive constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\int_0^t\Delta e^{(t-t')\Delta}f(t')\,dt'\Big\|_{L_T^r(L^p)} + \Big\|\int_0^t\nabla e^{(t-t')\Delta}f(t')\,dt'\Big\|_{L_T^{\frac{2r}{2-r}}(L^p)} \\ &\qquad + \Big\|\int_0^t\nabla e^{(t-t')\Delta}f(t')\,dt'\Big\|_{L_T^q(L^{2p})} \leq C\|f\|_{L_T^r(L^p)}, \quad \forall T\in\mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

for q given by $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{r}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4p}\right)$.

[3]Indeed, we can take approximated smooth solutions (ρ_n,v_n,π_n) of the equation (1.1) accompanied with the mollified initial data $(1+S_n(\rho_0-1),S_nv_0)$ such that (2.20) holds uniformly in n. Then a passage to the limit implies Proposition 2.1.

Proof. Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 can be proved exactly along the same lines of the proofs of Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 in [17], and Lemma 7.3 in [20]. Hence we just sketch their proofs for the reader's convenience.

The fact that $v_0 \in W^{1,p}$ ensures

$$\nabla^2 v_0 \in \dot{B}^{-1}_{p,\infty} \cap \dot{B}^{-2}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{-1-\sigma}_{p,1}, \quad \nabla v_0 \in \dot{B}^{0}_{p,\infty} \cap \dot{B}^{-1}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{-\sigma}_{p,1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{-\sigma-\frac{3}{2p}}_{2p,1}, \quad \forall \sigma \in]0,1[.$$

Hence by the characterisation of the Besov spaces with negative index, we know $\forall \sigma \in]0,1[$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|t^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{1}{r}} e^{t\Delta}\nabla^2 v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^+;L^p)} &+ \|t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{1}{s}} e^{t\Delta}\nabla v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^+;L^p)} \\ &+ \|t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}+\frac{3}{4p}-\frac{1}{q}} e^{t\Delta}\nabla v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^+;L^{2p})} \leq C\|\nabla v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with the fact $\|e^{t\Delta}\nabla v_0\|_{L^p} \leq C\|\nabla v_0\|_{L^p}$ ensures (2.25).

To prove (2.26) it suffices to write

$$e^{(t-t')\Delta} f(t') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-t'^3}} K\Big(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t-t'}}\Big) * f(t',\cdot),$$

with K denoting the inverse Fourier transform of $e^{-|\xi|^2}$, and then to take Young's inequality first in the space variable and then in the time variable. □

Now we come to the proof of (2.19) and we first consider $J_0(t)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume the hypothesis in Theorem 1.3. Then the estimate* (2.19) *holds true for* $\ell = 0$*:*

$$J_0(t) \leq C_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. We follow the idea in the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [17].

We first introduce $a \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1/\rho - 1$ so that the system (1.1) translates into the system for the unknowns $(a, v, \nabla\pi)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + v\cdot\nabla a = 0 \qquad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t v + v\cdot\nabla v + (1+a)(\nabla\pi - \Delta v) = 0, \\ \text{div } v = 0, \\ (a,v)|_{t=0} = (a_0, v_0). \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, for any function $g(t)$, we denote

$$g_\lambda(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} g(t)\exp\big(-\lambda\int_0^t V(t')\,dt'\big), \quad \text{with } V(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|v(t)\|_{L^{2p}}^{\frac{4p}{2p-3}} \geq 0.$$

Then by virtue of (2.28), v_λ satisfies

$$\partial_t v_\lambda + \lambda f(t) v_\lambda = (\partial_t v)_\lambda = \Delta v_\lambda + (-v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda + a\Delta v_\lambda - (1+a)\nabla\pi_\lambda), \tag{2.29}$$

and also

$$v_\lambda(t) = e^{t\Delta}v_{0,\lambda} + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda\int_{t'}^t V(t'')\,dt''} e^{(t-t')\Delta}\Big(-v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda + a\Delta v_\lambda - (1+a)\nabla\pi_\lambda\Big)(t')\,dt'. \tag{2.30}$$

Taking space divergence to (2.29) gives

$$\Delta\pi_\lambda = -\operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda) + \operatorname{div}\big(a(\Delta v_\lambda - \nabla\pi_\lambda)\big),$$

from which and the fact that $\|a\|_{L^\infty} = \frac{|\eta|}{1-|\eta|}$ is sufficiently small, we infer

$$\|\nabla\pi_\lambda(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C\big(\|v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda(t)\|_{L^p} + |\eta|\|\Delta v_\lambda(t)\|_{L^p}\big). \tag{2.31}$$

In view of (2.30), we get, by applying Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and (2.31), that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Delta v_\lambda\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})}\\
&\quad\le \|\Delta v_L\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_L\|_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_L\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})}\\
&\qquad + C\bigg(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda r_0\int_{t'}^t V(t'')\,dt''}\Big(\|v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_0} + \|a(t')\|_{L^\infty}^{r_0}\|\Delta v_\lambda(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_0}\\
&\qquad\qquad + \big(1+\|a(t')\|_{L^\infty}^{r_0}\big)\|\nabla\pi(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_0}\Big)\,dt'\bigg)^{\frac{1}{r_0}}\\
&\quad\le C\bigg(\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}} + |\eta|\|\Delta v_\lambda\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} + \Big(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda r_0\int_{t'}^t V(t'')\,dt''}\|v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_0}\,dt'\Big)^{\frac{1}{r_0}}\bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_L = e^{t\Delta}v_0$, $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{r_0} - \big(\frac12 - \frac{3}{4p}\big)$ and r_0 is defined in (2.18). Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda r_0\int_{t'}^t V(t'')\,dt''}\|v\cdot\nabla v_\lambda(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_0}\,dt'\Big)^{\frac{1}{r_0}}\\
&\quad\le\Big(\int_0^t e^{-\frac{4p}{2p-3}\lambda\int_{t'}^t V(t'')\,dt''}\|v(t')\|_{L^{2p}}^{\frac{4p}{2p-3}}\,dt'\Big)^{\frac{2p-3}{4p}}\|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})} \le \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{2p-3}{4p}}}\|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})}.
\end{aligned}$$

we take $|\eta|$ small enough and λ large enough to achieve

$$\|\Delta v_\lambda\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v_\lambda\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})} \le C\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}. \tag{2.32}$$

By use of the estimates (1.7) and (2.20), we deduce from the interpolation inequality that

$$\Big(\int_0^t V(t')\,dt'\Big)^{\frac{2p-3}{4p}} \equiv \|v\|_{L^{\frac{4p}{2p-3}}_t(L^{2p})} \le C\|v\|^{1-\theta}_{L^\infty_t(L^3)}\|\Delta v\|^{\theta}_{L^r_t(L^{\frac{3r}{3r-2}})} \le C_0, \tag{2.33}$$

where $\theta = \frac{(2p-3)r}{4p} \in]0,1[$. Thus we deduce from (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Delta v\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})}\\
&\qquad\le C\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}\cdot e^{\lambda\int_0^t V(t')\,dt'} \le C\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}\cdot e^{\lambda C_0} \le C_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

This together with (2.31) and (2.33) ensures that

$$\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} \le C\Big(\|v\|_{L^{\frac{4p}{2p-3}}_t(L^{2p})}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q_0}_t(L^{2p})} + |\eta|\|\Delta v\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)}\Big) \le C_0, \tag{2.35}$$

and hence we deduce from the velocity equation in (2.28) that

$$\|\partial_t v\|_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} \le C_0. \tag{2.36}$$

Moreover, for any $p>3$, $r_0\in]1,2[$, there holds

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{2pr_0}{2p+3r_0-pr_0}}_t(L^\infty)} \le C\|\nabla v\|^{1-\frac{3}{p}}_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)}\|\Delta v\|^{\frac{3}{p}}_{L^{r_0}_t(L^p)} \le C_0. \tag{2.37}$$

It is easy to observe that when r_0 varies from 1 to 2, we have $\sigma_r \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2pr_0}{2p+3r_0-pr_0} \in \big]\frac{2p}{p+3}, \frac{2p}{3}\big[$. Similarly we deduce

$$\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2p-3}{p}\cdot\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^\infty)} \le C\|v\|^{\frac{p-3}{2p-3}}_{L^\infty_t(L^3)}\|\nabla v\|^{\frac{p}{2p-3}}_{L^{\frac{2r_0}{2-r_0}}_t(L^p)} \le C_0, \tag{2.38}$$

and $\sigma_s \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{r_0(4p-6)}{p(2-r_0)} \in \left]\frac{4p-6}{p}, \infty\right[$.

By view of (2.16), we deduce (2.27) from the estimates (2.20), (2.34), (2.35), (2.36), (2.37), (2.38). Hence the proposition follows. □

Next we shall prove the estimate (2.19) for $\ell = 1$.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume the hypothesis in Theorem 1.3. Then the estimate* (2.19) *holds true for* $\ell = 1$*:*

$$J_1(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.39}$$

Proof. This proposition can be proved exactly along the same lines of the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [17]. We sketch the proof here for the reader's convenience.

Firstly, we know from the initial density assumptions (1.2) and (1.4) that

$$\partial^\ell_{W_0}\rho_0 = \partial^\ell_{W_0} a_0 \equiv 0, \text{ with } a_0 = \rho_0^{-1} - 1, \quad \ell = 1, \cdots, k.$$

On the other side, the equation (1.8) ensures that the operators ∂_{X_i} and $(\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla)$ are commutative, and hence by view of (1.1), $\partial^\ell_{X_i}\rho, \partial^\ell_{X_i} a$ satisfy the free transport equations

$$(\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla)(\partial^\ell_{X_i}\rho) = 0, \quad (\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla)(\partial^\ell_{X_i} a) = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \cdots, k, \quad \forall i = 1, \cdots, 5.$$

Therefore we derive that

$$\partial^\ell_W \rho \equiv 0, \quad \partial^\ell_W a \equiv 0, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.40}$$

We next deduce from the equation (1.8) and Proposition 2.2 that

$$\|X_i(t)\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq \|X_{i,0}\|_{W^{1,p}} \exp\Big(C\int_0^t \|\nabla v(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\Big) \leq \mathcal{H}_1(t), \quad \forall i = 1, \cdots, 5, \tag{2.41}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_i(t)\|_{W^{2,p}} &\leq \Big(\|X_{i,0}\|_{W^{2,p}} + \|\Delta\partial_{X_i} v\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}\Big)\exp\Big(C\int_0^t \|\nabla v(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}\,dt'\Big)\\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_1(t)(1 + \|\Delta\partial_{X_i} v\|_{L^1_t(L^p)}), \quad \forall i = 1, \cdots, 5.\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

We perform the operator ∂_{X_i}, $1 \leq i \leq 5$ to the velocity equation in (2.28) to get the equation for $\partial_{X_i} v$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t(\partial_{X_i} v) + v\cdot\nabla(\partial_{X_i} v) + (1+a)(\nabla\partial_{X_i}\pi - \Delta\partial_{X_i} v)\\ &\qquad = F_1(v,\pi,(i)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (1+a)\big(\nabla X_i\cdot\nabla\pi - \Delta X_i\cdot\nabla v - 2\nabla X_i : \nabla^2 v\big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

and hence similar as (2.30) we write

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_{X_i} v)(t) =& e^{t\Delta}(\partial_{X_{i,0}} v_0)\\ &+ \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\Delta}\Big(-v\cdot\nabla(\partial_{X_i} v) + a\Delta(\partial_{X_i} v) - (1+a)\nabla(\partial_{X_i}\pi) + F_1(v,\pi,(i))\Big)(t')\,dt'.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, a direct calculation shows that $(\partial_{X_i}\pi)$ satisfies (noticing that $\operatorname{div} X_i = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\left((1+a)\nabla(\partial_{X_i}\pi)\right) = \operatorname{div}\Big(&-\partial_t X_i\cdot\nabla v - \partial_t v\cdot\nabla X_i - v\cdot\nabla(\partial_{X_i} v)\\ &+ \Delta X_i\cdot\nabla v + 2\nabla X_i : \nabla^2 v + \Delta v\cdot\nabla X_i + a\Delta(\partial_{X_i} v) + F_1(v,\pi,(i))\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By use of Proposition 2.2 and (2.41) we get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|(v\cdot\nabla(\partial_{X_i}v),&\nabla(\partial_{X_i}\pi),F_1(v,\pi,(i)))\|_{L^{r_1}_t(L^p)}\le\mathcal{H}_1(t)+C|\eta|\|\Delta(\partial_{X_i}v)\|_{L^{r_1}_t(L^p)}\\&+C\Big(\int_0^t\big(\|\nabla v(t')\|^{r_1}_{W^{1,p}}+\|(v\otimes\nabla v(t'),\nabla\pi(t'))\|^{r_1}_{L^p}\big)\|\nabla X_i(t')\|^{r_1}_{W^{1,p}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{r_1}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.44}$$

with r_1 defined in (2.18). Therefore noticing $(\partial_{X_{i,0}}v_0)\in W^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{k},p}$, we take use of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 to achieve

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\partial_t(\partial_{X_i}v),\Delta(\partial_{X_i}v),\nabla(\partial_{X_i}\pi))\|_{L^{r_1}_t(L^p)}+\|\nabla(\partial_{X_i}v)\|_{L^{\frac{2r_1}{2-r_1}}_t(L^p)}\\&\le\mathcal{H}_1(t)+C\Big(\int_0^t\big(\|\nabla v(t')\|^{r_1}_{W^{1,p}}+\|(v\otimes\nabla v(t'),\nabla\pi(t'))\|^{r_1}_{L^p}\big)\|\nabla X_i(t')\|^{r_1}_{W^{1,p}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We substitute the above estimate into (2.42) and take use of Proposition 2.2 and Gronwall's inequality to arrive at

$$\|X_i\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{2,p})}\le\mathcal{H}_1(t),\quad\forall i=1,\cdots,5.$$

By the definition (2.17), the estimate (2.39) follows correspondingly. □

Before we consider the estimate (2.19) for the case $\ell\ge2$, we state the following commutator estimates which can viewed as generalisations of Lemma 6.1 in [17].

Lemma 2.3. *For any $\ell\in\{1,\dots,k\}$ and any system $W=\{X_1,\cdots,X_N\}$, let $\alpha(\ell)=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_\ell)$ be a multi-index of length ℓ with indices taking value in $\{1,\dots,N\}$, and we denote $\widehat{\alpha}(i)=(\alpha_{\ell-i+1},\dots,\alpha_\ell)$ for $i=0,\cdots,\ell$.*

Then there exists a positive constant C such that $\forall\, r_\ell\in\big]1,\frac{2k}{k+\ell\varepsilon}\big[$, $s_\ell\in\big]2,\frac{2k}{\ell\varepsilon}\big[$, $\forall\, X\in W$, $\forall 1\le i\le\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}+\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X-\nabla^2\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}X\big\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&\qquad+\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\partial_t\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X-\partial_t\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}X\big\|_{L^{s_\ell}_t(W^{1,p})}\le CJ_\ell^{\ell+1},\end{aligned}\tag{2.45}$$

and when $i\ne\ell$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^\infty)\cap L^{s_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\\&+\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v-\nabla^2\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}+\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}\pi-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\pi\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\\&+\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\partial_t\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v-\partial_t\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\le CJ_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1},\end{aligned}\tag{2.46}$$

and when $i=\ell$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\nabla v-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v+\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}\nabla X_{\alpha_\ell}\cdot\nabla v\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^\infty)\cap L^{s_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\le CJ_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1},\\&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\Delta v-\Delta\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v+\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}\Delta X_{\alpha_\ell}\cdot\nabla v+2\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}\nabla X_{\alpha_\ell}:\nabla^2v\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\le CJ_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1},\\&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\nabla\pi-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\pi+\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}\nabla X_{\alpha_\ell}\cdot\nabla\pi\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\le CJ_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1},\\&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}\partial_tv-\partial_t\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)}v+\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}\partial_tX_{\alpha_\ell}\cdot\nabla v\big\|_{L^{r_{\ell-1}}_t(L^p)}\le CJ_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.47}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.17), (2.45), (2.46) and (2.47) that for any $0\le i\le\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X\|_{L^\infty_t(W^{1,p})}+\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X\|_{L^\infty_t(L^p)}\\&\qquad+\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\partial_t\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}X\|_{L^{s_{\ell+1}}_t(W^{1,p})}\le CJ_{\ell+1}^{\ell+1},\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^\infty)\cap L_t^{s_\ell}(L^p)}+\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)}\\+\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}\pi\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)}+\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i)}\partial_t\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-i)}v\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)}\le CJ_\ell^{\ell+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.49}$$

Proof. The calculation is similar to Lemma 6.1 of [17], so we just sketch it here.

Firstly, for any $X, Y\in W$, it is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_Y\nabla X-\nabla\partial_Y X\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}+\|\partial_Y\nabla^2X-\nabla^2\partial_YX\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\\&\quad+\|\partial_Y\partial_tX-\partial_t\partial_YX\|_{L_t^{s_1}(W^{1,p})}\\&\le C\|\nabla X\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\big(\|\nabla Y\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}+\|\partial_tY\|_{L_t^{s_1}(W^{1,p})}\big)\le CJ_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that (2.45) holds for $\ell=1$. It is also easy to see that (2.46) and (2.47) hold trivially for $\ell=1$. Hence Lemma 2.3 holds for $k=1$.

Let us now assume that (2.45)-(2.49) hold for $\ell\le j-1$ with $j\le k$. We are going to prove that (2.45)-(2.47) also hold for $\ell=j$, which will imply immediately (2.48)-(2.49) for $\ell=j$. In the following, for $n\le m$ and for the m-length multi-index $(l_1,\cdots,l_m)$ such that

$$(l_1,\cdots,l_m)\in L_m^n=\{(l_1,\cdots,l_m)\,|\,l_1<\cdots<l_n,\,l_{n+1}<\cdots<l_m,\,\{l_1,\cdots,l_m\}=\{1,\cdots,m\}\},$$

we denote $\alpha^l(n)=(\alpha_{l_1},\cdots,\alpha_{l_n})$ and $\widehat{\alpha}^l(m-n)=(\alpha_{l_{n+1}},\cdots,\alpha_{l_m})$.

For any positive integer $i\le j-1$, a direct calculation and the induction assumptions give

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i+1)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-i-1)}X-\nabla\partial_W^{\alpha(j)}X\big\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\\&=\big\|\sum_{m=0}^i\partial_W^{\alpha(m)}(\partial_{X_{\alpha_{m+1}}}\nabla-\nabla\partial_{X_{\alpha_{m+1}}})\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-m-1)}X\big\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\\&=\big\|\sum_{m=0}^i\partial_W^{\alpha(m)}\big(\nabla X_{\alpha_{m+1}}\cdot\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-m-1)}X\big)\big\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\\&\le C\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{n=0}^m\sum_{(l_1,\cdots,l_m)\in L_m^n}\|\partial_W^{\alpha^l(n)}\nabla X_{\alpha_{m+1}}\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\|\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}^l(m-n)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-m-1)}X\|_{L_t^\infty(W^{1,p})}\\&\le C\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{n=0}^m J_{n+1}^{n+1}J_{j-n}^{j-n}\le CJ_j^{j+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.50}$$

We follow the same lines as above to obtain

$$\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i+1)}\partial_t\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-i-1)}X-\partial_t\partial_W^{\alpha(j)}X\big\|_{L_t^{s_j}(W^{1,p})}\le CJ_j^{j+1},\tag{2.51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\partial_W^{\alpha(i+1)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-i-1)}X-\nabla^2\partial_W^{\alpha(j)}X\big\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\\&\le C\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{n=0}^m\sum_{(l_1,\cdots,l_m)\in L_m^n}\Big(\|\partial_W^{\alpha^l(n)}\nabla^2X_{\alpha_{m+1}}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\|\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}^l(m-n)}\nabla\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-m-1)}X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\\&\qquad+\|\partial_W^{\alpha^l(n)}\nabla X_{\alpha_{m+1}}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)}\|\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}^l(m-n)}\nabla^2\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(j-m-1)}X\|_{L_t^\infty(L^p)}\Big)\\&\le C\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{n=0}^m J_{n+1}^{n+1}J_{j-n}^{j-n}\le CJ_j^{j+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.52}$$

The estimates (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52) show that (2.45) holds for $\ell = j$.

The same argument to achieve (2.45) for $\ell = j$ yield (2.46) and (2.47) for $\ell = j$. We complete the proof of Lemma 2.3 by the induction argument. □

Now we come to the estimate (2.19) for the case $\ell \geq 2$:

Proposition 2.4. *Assume the hypothesis in Theorem 1.3. Then the estimate* (2.19) *holds true for* $\ell \geq 2$*:*

$$J_\ell(t) \leq \mathcal{H}_\ell(t), \quad \forall\ \ell = 2, \cdots, k, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+ . \tag{2.53}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 6.1 in [17] and we sketch it.

For $\ell = 2, \ldots, k$, and any multi-index $\alpha(\ell) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$, we take the operator $\partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1)}$ to the equation (2.43) for $(\partial_{X_{\alpha_\ell}} v)$ to get

$$\partial_t \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)} v + v \cdot \nabla \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)} v - (1+a)\big(\Delta \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)} v - \nabla \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell)} \pi\big) \overset{\text{def}}{=} F_\ell(v, \pi, \alpha(\ell)). \tag{2.54}$$

Here $F_\ell(v, \pi, \alpha(\ell))$ is given by induction

$$F_\ell(v, \pi, \alpha(\ell)) = \partial_{X_{\alpha_1}} F_{\ell-1}(v, \pi, \widehat{\alpha}(\ell-1)) + F_1(\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-1)} v, \partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(\ell-1)} \pi, (\alpha_1)),$$

and hence by view of the definition of F_1 in (2.43) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} F_\ell(v, \pi, \alpha(\ell)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1-i)} F_1(\partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(i)} v, \partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(i)} \pi, (\alpha_{\ell-i})) \\ &= (1+a) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \partial_W^{\alpha(\ell-1-i)} \Big(\nabla X_{\alpha_{\ell-i}} \cdot \nabla \partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(i)} \pi - \Delta X_{\alpha_{\ell-i}} \cdot \nabla \partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(i)} v - 2 \nabla X_{\alpha_{\ell-i}} : \nabla^2 \partial_W^{\widehat{\alpha}(i)} v \Big). \end{aligned}$$

By use of Lemma 2.3, we arrive at the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\ell(v, \pi, \alpha(\ell))\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)} &\leq C J_{\ell-1}^{\ell+1} \\ &\quad + C\Big(\int_0^t \big(\|\nabla v(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^{r_\ell} + \|\nabla \pi(t')\|_{L^p}^{r_\ell} \big) \|\nabla \partial_W^{\ell-1} W(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^{r_\ell} \Big)^{\frac{1}{r_\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

Similar as the proof of (2.44) and by an inductive argument (as the same method for proving Lemma 6.3 in [17]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \partial_W^\ell \pi\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)} &\leq C J_{\ell-1}^{\ell+2} + |\eta| \|\Delta \partial_W^\ell v\|_{L_t^{r_\ell}(L^p)} \\ &\quad + \Big(\int_0^t \big(\|\nabla v(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^{r_\ell} + \|(v \otimes \nabla v(t'), \nabla \pi(t'))\|_{L^p}^{r_\ell} \big) \|\nabla \partial_W^{\ell-1} W(t')\|_{W^{1,p}}^{r_\ell} \Big)^{\frac{1}{r_\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

Now noticing $(\partial_{X_{i,0}}^\ell v_0) \in W^{1-\frac{\ell}{k}\varepsilon, p}$, we take use of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and the estimates (2.55), (2.56) and Proposition 2.2 to achieve (2.53) by induction argument. □

Acknowledgments. This work was done when we were visiting Morningside Center of the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, CAS. We would like to thank Professor Ping Zhang for introducing this interesting problem to us.

References

[1] H. Abidi, G. Gui and P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of 3−D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **204** (2012), 189–230.

[2] H. Abidi and M. Paicu, Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogène, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **57** (2007), 883–917.

[3] S.N. Antontsev, A.V. Kazhikhov and V.N. Monakhov, *Boundary value problems in mechanics of nonhomogeneous fluids*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications 22, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.

[4] A.-L. Bertozzi and P. Constantin, Global regularity for vortex patches, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **152** (1993), 19-28.

[5] J.-Y. Chemin, Sur le mouvement des particules d'un fluide parfait incompressible bidimensionnel, *Invent. Math.*, **103** (1991), 599–629.

[6] J.-Y. Chemin, Persistance de structures géométriques dans les fluides incompressibles bidimensionnels, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, **26** (1993), 517-542.

[7] H. Choe and H. Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 28(2003), 1183–1201.

[8] W. Craig, X. Huang and Y. Wang, Global wellposedness for the 3D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 15(2013), 747–758.

[9] R. Danchin, Poches de tourbillon visqueuses, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **76** (1997), 609-647.

[10] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **133** (2003), 1311–1334.

[11] R. Danchin and P. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65** (2012), 1458-1480.

[12] J. Huang, M. Paicu and P. Zhang, Global well-posedness of incompressible inhomogeneous fluid systems with bounded density or non-Lipschitz velocity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **209** (2013), 631–682.

[13] P. Gamblin and X. Saint Raymond, On three-dimensional vortex patches, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, **123** (1995), 375–424.

[14] T. Hmidi, Régularité Höldérienne des poches de tourbillon visqueuses, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **84** (2005), 1455–1495.

[15] T. Hmidi, Poches de tourbillon singulières dans un fluide faiblement visqueux, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **22** (2006), 489-543.

[16] O.-A. Ladyzhenskaja and V.-A. Solonnikov, The unique solvability of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous incompressible inhomogeneous fluids. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, and related questions of the theory of functions, 8, *Zap. Naucn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **52** (1975), 52-109, 218-219.

[17] X. Liao and P. Zhang, On the global regularity of two-dimensional density patch for inhomogeneous incompressible viscous flow, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **220** (2016), 937-981.

[18] X. Liao and P. Zhang, Global regularities of two-dimensional density patch for inhomogeneous incompressible viscous flow with general density, arXiv:1604.07922.

[19] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible models.* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **3**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

[20] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, **431**, 2002.

[21] M. Paicu, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Global unique solvability of inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with bounded density, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **38** (2013), 1208-1234.

[22] P. Zhang and Q. Qiu, Propagation of higher-order regularities of the boundaries of 3-D vortex patches, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **18** (1997), 381–390.

[23] J. Simon, Nonhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluids: existence of velocity, density, and pressure, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **21** (1990), 1093–1117.

(X. Liao) Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany.

E-mail address: xianliao@math.uni-bonn.de

(Y. Liu) Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

E-mail address: liuyanlin3.14@126.com